

# Non-principal ultrafilters, program extraction and higher order reverse mathematics

Alexander P. Kreuzer

ENS Lyon

Workshop on Reverse Mathematics and Type Theory, 2013

# Outline

- 1 The logical systems and the functional interpretation
- 2 Ultrafilters
- 3 The results
- 4 Idempotent ultrafilters
- 5 Elimination of monotone Skolem functions

# Higher order arithmetic

## Definition ( $\text{RCA}_0^\omega$ , Recursive comprehension, Kohlenbach '05)

$\text{RCA}_0^\omega$  is the finite type extension of  $\text{RCA}_0$ :

- Sorted into type 0 for  $\mathbb{N}$ , type 1 for  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , type 2 for  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ ,  $\dots$ ,
- contains basic arithmetic: 0, successor, +,  $\cdot$ ,  $\lambda$ -abstraction,
- quantifier-free axiom of choice restricted to choice of numbers over functions ( $\text{QF-AC}^{1,0}$ ), i.e.,

$$\forall f^1 \exists y^0 A_{\text{qf}}(f, y) \rightarrow \exists G^2 \forall f^1 A_{\text{qf}}(f, G(f))$$

- and a recursor  $R_0$ , which provides primitive recursion (for numbers),

$$R_0(0, y^0, f) = y, \quad R_0(x + 1, y, f) = f(R_0(x, y, f), x),$$

- $\Sigma_1^0$ -induction.

The closed terms of  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega$  will be denoted by  $T_0$ .

In Kohlenbach's books this system is denoted by  $\widehat{\text{E-PA}}^\omega \upharpoonright + \text{QF-AC}^{1,0}$ .

# Functional interpretation

## Theorem (Functional interpretation)

If

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash \forall x \exists y A_{\text{qf}}(x, y)$$

the one can extract a term  $t \in T_0$ , such that

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash \forall x A_{\text{qf}}(x, t(x)).$$

## Sketch of proof.

Apply the following proof translations:

- Elimination of extensionality,
- a negative translation,
- Gödel's Dialectica translation.



See Kohlenbach: Applied Proof Theory.

# The intuition behind the functional interpretation

Each formula can be assigned an equivalent  $\forall\exists$ -formula.

E.g.

$$A \equiv \forall x \exists y \forall z A_{\text{qf}}(x, y, z)$$

will be assigned

$$A^{ND} \equiv \forall x \forall f_z \exists y A_{\text{qf}}(x, y, f_z(y)).$$

- This assignment preserves logical rules, like

$$\frac{A \quad A \rightarrow B}{B},$$

and exhibits programs.

- Thus, to prove the program extraction theorem we only have to provide programs for the axioms.

# Arithmetical comprehension

Let  $\Pi_1^0$ -CA be the schema

$$\forall f \exists g \forall n (g(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x f(n, x) = 0).$$

Define  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega$  to be  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}$ .

Let Feferman's  $\mu$  be

$$\mu(f) := \begin{cases} \min\{x \mid f(x) = 0\} & \text{if } \exists x f(x) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $(\mu)$  be the statement that  $\mu$  exists.

## Theorem

- $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}$
- $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$  is  $\Pi_2^1$ -conservative over  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega$

## Theorem (Functional interpretation *relative to* $\mu$ )

*If*

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall x \exists y A_{qf}(x, y)$$

*the one can extract a term  $t \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that*

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall x A_{qf}(x, t(x)).$$

We interpreted  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega$  non-constructively using  $\mu$ .

One can also interpret  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega$  directly using bar recursion.

See Avigad, Feferman in Handbook of Proof Theory

## Filter

A set  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is a *filter over*  $\mathbb{N}$  if

- $\forall X, Y (X \in \mathcal{F} \wedge X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{F})$ ,
- $\forall X, Y (X, Y \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{F})$ ,
- $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$

## Ultrafilter

A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal, i.e.,

$$\forall X (X \in \mathcal{F} \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{F})$$

$\mathcal{P}_n := \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid n \in X\}$  is an ultrafilter. These filters are called *principal*.

The Fréchet filter  $\{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid X \text{ cofinite}\}$  is a filter but not an ultrafilter.



## Non-principal ultrafilters

A set  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is a *non-principal ultrafilter* over  $\mathbb{N}$  if

- $\forall X (X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \bar{X} \in \mathcal{U})$ ,
- $\forall X, Y (X \in \mathcal{U} \wedge X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{U})$ ,
- $\forall X, Y (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U})$ ,
- $\forall X (X \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \text{ is infinite})$ .

The existence of a non-principal ultrafilter is not provable in ZF.

# Non-principal ultrafilters

A set  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is a *non-principal ultrafilter* over  $\mathbb{N}$  if

- $\forall X (X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \bar{X} \in \mathcal{U})$ ,
- $\forall X, Y (X \in \mathcal{U} \wedge X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{U})$ ,
- $\forall X, Y (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U})$ ,
- $\forall X (X \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \text{ is infinite})$ .

Coding sets as characteristic function, i.e.,  $n \in X \equiv [X(n) = 0]$ ,  
this can be formulated in  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega$ :

$$(\mathcal{U}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \mathcal{U}^2 ( \forall X^1 ( X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \bar{X} \in \mathcal{U} ) \\ \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1 ( X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{U} ) \\ \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1 ( X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow (X \cap Y) \in \mathcal{U} ) \\ \wedge \forall X^1 ( X \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \forall n \exists k > n (k \in X) ) \\ \wedge \forall X^1 ( \mathcal{U}(X) =_0 \text{sg}(\mathcal{U}(X)) =_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda n. \text{sg}(X(n))) ) ) \end{array} \right.$$

# Lower bound on the strength of $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$

## Theorem (K.)

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash (\mu)$$

*In particular,  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$  proves arithmetical comprehension.*

## Proof.

Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and set  $X_f := \{n \mid \exists m \leq n f(m) = 0\}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists n (f(n) = 0) &\iff X_f \text{ is cofinite} \\ &\iff X_f \in \mathcal{U} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\forall f (X_f \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \exists n (f(n) = 0 \wedge \forall n' < n f(n') \neq 0))$$

QF-AC<sup>1,0</sup> yields a functional satisfying  $(\mu)$ . □

# Upper bound on the strength of $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$

## Theorem (K.)

$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$  is  $\Pi_2^1$ -conservative over  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$  and thus also over  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega$ .

## Proof sketch

Suppose  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f \exists g A(f, g)$  and  $A$  does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$ .

- 1 The functional interpretation yields a term  $t \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

$$\forall f A(f, t(\mathcal{U}, f)).$$

- 2 Normalizing  $t$ , such that each occurrence of  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $t$  is of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(t'(n^0)) \quad \text{for a term } t'(n^0) \in T_0[\mathcal{U}, \mu, f].$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{U}$  is only used on **countably many sets** (for each fixed  $f$ ).

- 3 Build in  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$  a filter which acts on these sets as ultrafilter.

## Step 1: Functional interpretation

Suppose  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f^1 \exists g^1 A(f, g)$   
where  $A$  is arithmetical and does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Modulo  $\mu$  the formula  $A$  is quantifier-free.

Recall  $(\mathcal{U})$ :

$$(\mathcal{U}): \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \mathcal{U}^2 \left( \forall X^1 \left( X \in \mathcal{U} \vee \bar{X} \in \mathcal{U} \right) \right. \\ \quad \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1 \left( X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \quad \wedge \forall X^1, Y^1 \left( X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow (X \cap Y) \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \quad \wedge \forall X^1 \left( X \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \forall n \exists k > n (k \in X) \right) \\ \quad \left. \wedge \forall X^1 \left( \mathcal{U}(X) =_0 \text{sg}(\mathcal{U}(X)) =_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda n. \text{sg}(X(n))) \right) \right) \end{array} \right.$$

Modulo  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$  this is of the form  $\exists \mathcal{U}^2 \forall Z^1 (\mathcal{U})_{\text{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z)$ .

Thus

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \forall f^1 \exists Z^1 \exists g^1 \left( (\mathcal{U})_{\text{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z) \rightarrow A_{\text{qf}}(f, g) \right).$$

## Step 1: Functional interpretation (cont.)

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \forall f^1 \exists Z^1 \exists g^1 \left( (\mathcal{U})_{\text{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z) \rightarrow A_{\text{qf}}(f, g) \right).$$

The functional interpretation extracts terms  $t_Z, t_g \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \forall f^1 \left( (\mathcal{U})_{\text{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, t_Z(\mathcal{U}, f)) \rightarrow A_{\text{qf}}(f, t_g(\mathcal{U}, f)) \right).$$

## Step 2: Term normalization

The terms  $t_Z, t_g$  are made of

- 0, successor, +, ·,  $\lambda$ -abstraction
- the primitive recursor  $R_0$ , i.e.

$$R_0(0, y, f) = y, \quad R_0(x + 1, y, f) = f(R_0(x, y, f), x),$$

- $\mu^2$  and
- the parameters  $\mathcal{U}^2, f^1$ .

With coding  $R_0$  is of type 2. The functional  $\mathcal{U}$  is also of type 2.

$\implies$  no functional can take  $\mathcal{U}$  as parameter.

### Lemma

*The terms  $t_Z, t_g$  can be normalized, such that each occurrence of  $\mathcal{U}$  is of the form*

$$\mathcal{U}(t'(n^0)) \quad \text{for a term } t' \text{ possible containing } \mathcal{U}, f.$$

## Step 2: Term normalization (cont.)

### Proof.

Consider  $t[\mathcal{U}, f, n^0]$ , where  $\mathcal{U}, f, n^0$  are variables.

Assume that all possible  $\lambda$ -reductions haven't been carried out. Then one of the following holds:

- 1  $t = 0$ ,
- 2  $t = S(t'_1)$ ,  $t = f(t'_1)$ ,  $t = t'_1 + t'_2$ ,  $t(n) = t'_1 \cdot t'_2$ ,
- 3  $t = \mu(t'_g)$ ,  $t = \mathcal{U}(t'_g)$ ,  $t = R_0(t'_1, t'_2, t'_g)$ .

Restart the procedure with  $t'_1$ ,  $t'_2$  and  $t'_g m^0$ .





## Step 3: Construction of (a substitute for) $\mathcal{U}$

We fix an  $f$  and construct a filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash (\mathcal{U})_{qf}(\mathcal{F}, t_Z(\mathcal{F}, f)). \quad (*)$$

This yields then

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall f A_{qf}(f, t_g(\mathcal{F}, f))$$

and thus the theorem.

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  be the list term with  $\mathcal{U}(t_j(n))$  in  $t_Z, t_g$ .

- Assume that  $t_1, \dots$  is ordered according to the subterm ordering.
- We start with the trivial filter  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\mathbb{N}\}$ .
- For each  $t_i$  we build a refined  $\mathcal{F}_i \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  such that  $(\mathcal{U})_{qf}$  relativized the sets coded by  $t_1, \dots, t_i$  holds.
- $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_k$  solves then  $(*)$ .

## Step 3: Sketch of the construction of $\mathcal{F}_1$

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  be the set of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  coded by  $t_1$ .

We assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under union, intersection and inverse.

We want a filter  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , such that

- $\forall X \in \mathcal{A} (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \overline{X} \in \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,
- $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A} (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \wedge X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,
- $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A} (X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,
- $\forall X \in \mathcal{A} (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow X \text{ is infinite})$ .

Construction:

- We decide for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  whether we put  $A_i$  or  $\overline{A_i}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .
- We put  $A_i$  into  $\mathcal{F}_1$  if the *intersection of  $A_i$  with the previously chosen sets* is infinite. Otherwise we put  $\overline{A_i}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

# Program extraction

## Corollary (to the proof)

If  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f \exists g A_{qf}(f, g)$  and  $A_{qf}$  does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$  then one can extract a term  $t \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

$$\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash A_{qf}(f, t(f)).$$

## Corollary

If  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f \exists g A_{qf}(f, g)$  and  $A_{qf}$  does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$  then one can extract a term  $t$  in **Gödel's System T**, such that

$$A_{qf}(f, t(f))$$

## Proof.

- The previous corollary yields a term primitive recursive in  $\mu$ .
- Interpreting the term using the bar recursor  $B_{0,1}$  and then using Howard's ordinal analysis gives a term  $t \in T$ . □

# Idempotent ultrafilters

- The set of all ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  can be identified with the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- Addition  $+$  can be extended from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ :

$$X \in \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{V} \quad \text{iff} \quad \{n \mid (X - n) \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U}$$

## Theorem (Ellis '58)

*Every left-topological compact semi-group contains an idempotent.*

Thus, there exists an *idempotent* ultrafilter, i.e. a  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$ .  
Let  $(\mathcal{U}_{\text{idem}})$  be the statement that an idempotent ultrafilter exists and IHT the so-called “iterated Hindman’s Theorem”.

## Theorem (K.)

- $\text{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash (\mathcal{U}_{\text{idem}}) \rightarrow \text{IHT}$
- $\text{ACA}_0^\omega + (\mu) + \text{IHT} + (\mathcal{U}_{\text{idem}})$  is  $\Pi_2^1$ -conservative over  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega + \text{IHT}$ .

# Non-iterated uses of $\mathcal{U}$

Restrict the uses of  $\mathcal{U}$  to the form  $\mathcal{U}(t_0)$ , where  $t_0$  does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$ .  
Goal: Show that restricted uses of  $\Pi_1^0$ -CA suffices.

- Full  $\Pi_1^0$ -CA:

$$\Pi_1^0\text{-CA: } \forall f \exists g \forall n (g(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x f(n, x) = 0).$$

- Instance of  $\Pi_1^0$ -CA:

$$\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(f): \exists g \forall n (g(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x f(n, x) = 0).$$

- $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA} \vdash \Pi_\infty^0\text{-IA}$
- $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + [\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(t) \text{ for all closed terms } t] \vdash \text{light-face-}\Sigma_2^0\text{-IA}$
- For closed terms  $t$ :  
 $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(t) \not\vdash \Sigma_3^0\text{-IA}$

Let  $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*}$  be  $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega}$  where

- $\Sigma_1^0$ -induction is replaced by quantifier free induction,
- $R_0$  is replaced by the  $2^x$ -function.

Then:

- $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*} + [\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(t) \text{ for all closed terms } t] \vdash \text{light-face-}\Sigma_1^0\text{-IA}$
- For closed terms  $t$ :  $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*} + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(t) \not\vdash \Sigma_2^0\text{-IA}$

### Theorem (Elimination of monotone Skolem functions, Kohlenbach)

If  $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*} + \text{WKL} \vdash \forall f (\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(sf) \wedge \mathcal{U}(s'f) \rightarrow \exists x A_0(f, x))$

for a terms  $s, s'$ ,

then one can extract a primitive recursive term  $t$ , such that

$$\text{RCA}_0^{\omega} \vdash \forall f A_0(f, tf).$$

### Lemma

There is a term  $t$ , such that

$$\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*} + \text{WKL} \rightarrow \forall f (\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(f)).$$

# Possible Applications

## Possible Applications:

- Program extraction for ultralimit arguments e.g.,
  - from fixed point theory,
  - Gromov's Theorem,
  - Ergodic theory.
- Program extraction for non-standard arguments.

# Summary

- The  $\Pi_2^1$ -consequences of  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$  and the  $\Pi_2^1$ -consequences of  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega$  are the same.
- Program extraction for  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$ .
- The  $\Pi_2^1$ -consequences of  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}_{\text{idem}})$  and the  $\Pi_2^1$ -consequences of  $\text{ACA}_0^\omega + \text{IHT}$  are the same.
- Extraction of primitive recursive programs from  $\text{RCA}_0^\omega + \mathcal{U}(t)$ .

Thank you for your attention!



# References



Alexander P. Kreuzer

*Non-principal ultrafilters, program extraction and higher order reverse mathematics*

Journal of Mathematical Logic **12** (2012), no. 1.



Alexander P. Kreuzer

*On idempotent ultrafilters in higher-order reverse mathematics*

preprint, [arXiv:1208.1424](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.1424).