

Program extraction for 2-random reals

Alexander P. Kreuzer

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract Let 2-RAN be the statement that for each real X a real 2-random relative to X exists. We apply program extraction techniques we developed in [10,9] to this principle.

Let WKL_0^ω be the finite type extension of WKL_0 . We obtain that one can extract primitive recursive realizers from proofs in $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + 2\text{-RAN}$, i.e., if $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + 2\text{-RAN} \vdash \forall f \exists x A_{\text{eff}}(f, x)$ then one can extract from the proof a primitive recursive term $t(f)$ such that $A_{\text{eff}}(f, t(f))$. As a consequence, we obtain that $\text{WKL}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is Π_3^0 -conservative over RCA_0 .

Keywords weak weak König's lemma · 2-random · program extraction · conservation · proof mining

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 03F35 · 03B30 · 03F10

Introduction

Let n -RAN be the statement

$$\forall X \exists Y (Y \text{ is } n\text{-random relative to } X).$$

It is known that 1-RAN is equivalent to weak weak König's lemma (WWKL). That is the restriction of weak König's Lemma to infinite binary trees T , which additionally satisfy

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{s \in T \mid \text{lth}(s) = i\}|}{2^i} > 0, \quad (1)$$

see [13]. (The condition (1) should be read as $\exists k \forall i \frac{|\{s \in T \mid \text{lth}(s) = i\}|}{2^i} \geq 2^{-k}$. In particular, we do not assume that the limit exists.)

Avigad, Dean, and Rute showed that, relative to $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$,¹ the principle 2-RAN is equivalent to WWKL for trees computable in the first Turing jump (of the parameters), see [1]. This principle is denoted by 2-WWKL. Recently, Conidis and Slaman showed that 2-RAN is Π_1^1 -conservative over $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$, see [3].

In this paper we will prove a program extraction result along this lines which additionally deals with WKL. In detail, we will show the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *The system $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + \text{CAC} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is conservative over RCA_0^ω for sentences of the form $\forall f \exists x A_{\text{qf}}(f, x)$. Moreover, from a proof one can extract a primitive recursive realizer $t[f]$ for y .*

The ω superscript at WKL_0 and RCA_0 indicates that we use the finite type variant of these systems. This means they are not sorted into two types for \mathbb{N} and subsets of \mathbb{N} , but into countable many types for \mathbb{N} , $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ etc. These systems can be interpreted in their second-order counterpart. See [7]. Below we will also need the finite type variants of the systems WKL_0^* and RCA_0^* . These systems are defined to be RCA_0 resp. WKL_0 where Σ_1^0 -induction is replaced by the exponential function and quantifier-free induction, see [11, X.4]. The finite type variants will be denote by $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega*}$ and $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega*}$.

Theorem 1 also deals with the chain antichain principle (CAC). This principle states that each partial ordering contains an infinite chain or an infinite antichain. In [2] Chong, Slaman, and Yang showed that CAC is Π_1^1 -conservative over $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$. We established a program extraction for CAC in [9] which is extended by Theorem 1.

Interpreting RCA_0^ω in RCA_0 and noting that Π_3^0 statements are equivalent to statements of the form $\forall f \exists x A_{\text{qf}}(f, x)$ over RCA_0^ω we obtain from Theorem 1 the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *$\text{WKL}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP} + \text{CAC} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is conservative over RCA_0 for sentences of the form $\forall X A(X)$ where A is Π_3^0 .*

This corollary should be compared with the mention conservation results for CAC and 2-RAN. Both results are established using a similar model theoretic forcing and thus can be combined. One obtains that $\text{CAC} + 2\text{-RAN}$ is Π_1^1 -conservative over $\text{RCA}_0 + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$. We believe that one could treat WKL in a similar way. Corollary 1 as it is stated follows from this together with the fact that $\Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$ is Π_3^0 -conservative over RCA_0 . Our proof of this statement presented in this paper has the following advantages. It additionally yields a finitary method which translates a proof using 2-RAN and CAC into a proof in RCA_0 . This is not the case for the proof based on model-theoretic forcing. In addition to that our proof exhibits a finitary method to extract primitive recursive programs as mentioned in Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the techniques we developed in [10, 9]. There we introduced the notion *proofwise low*. Roughly speaking, this notion covers the computational content of *low*₂-ness but also keeps track of the induction used in the proof. A Π_2^1 -principle P of the form

$$\forall X \exists Y P'(X, Y) \tag{2}$$

¹ In first order context $\Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$ is usually denoted by $B\Pi_1$ which is equivalent to $B\Sigma_2$.

is called proofwise low over a system, say $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega^*}$, if for each term ϕ a term ξ exists such that

$$\text{WKL}_0^{\omega^*} \vdash \forall X (\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi X) \rightarrow \exists Y (P'(X, Y) \wedge \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi XY))).$$

Here $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(t) := \exists f \forall n (f(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x t(n, x) = 0)$.

We showed that for principles P of the form (2) where P' is Π_1^0 and that are proofwise low relative to $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega^*}$, a program extraction result of the form of Theorem 1 holds, see [9, Corollary 3.4]. We will prove Theorem 1 by showing that 2-WWKL, and hence 2-RAN, is (equivalent to) such a principle and these results are applicable.

Proof of Theorem 1

Let \mathcal{H} -WWKL be weak weak König's Lemma where the tree is given by a formula of the class \mathcal{H} . Using this notation 2-WWKL is the same as Δ_2^0 -WWKL. The following lemma shows that we can restrict our attention to Σ_1^0 -WWKL.

Lemma 1

- (i) $\text{RCA}_0^* \vdash \Pi_1^0\text{-WWKL} \leftrightarrow \text{WWKL}$
- (ii) $\text{RCA}_0^* \vdash \Pi_2^0\text{-WWKL} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_1^0\text{-WWKL}$

Proof Let $T = \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall k f(s, k) = 0\}$ be a Π_1^0 -tree such that (1) holds. Then the tree $T' := \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \forall k \leq \text{lth}(s) f(s', k) = 0\}$ is recursive, has the same infinite branches as T , and satisfies (1) since $T' \supseteq T$. Thus WWKL suffices to find an infinite branch of T .

Now let $T = \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall k \exists n f(s, k, n) = 0\}$ be a Π_2^0 -tree such that again (1) holds. Then $T' := \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \forall k \leq \text{lth}(s) \exists n f(s', k, n) = 0\}$ is a Σ_1^0 -tree and again has the same infinite branches as T and satisfies (1). Therefore, Σ_1^0 -WWKL yields an infinite branch.

Proposition 1 *For each term ϕ and each m there exists a closed term ξ such that $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega^*} + \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi)$ proves that there exists a tree T with*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{s \in T \mid \text{lth}(s) = i\}|}{2^i} \geq 1 - 2^{-m} \quad (3)$$

and for each infinite branch b of T the statement $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi b)$ is provable.

The proof of this proposition make use of the concept of an associate. An associate is a representation of a continuous functional on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For a continuous functional $F(g)$ a function α_F satisfying the following statement is called an associate for F .

$$\forall f \exists n \alpha_F(\bar{g}n) \neq 0, \quad \forall f, n (\alpha_F(\bar{g}n) \neq 0 \rightarrow \alpha_F(\bar{g}n) - 1 = F(g)),$$

where \bar{g} denotes the course-of-value function for g . Note that the functional F is determined by the values of α_F . The closed terms of the finite type systems we consider here are provably continuous and have associates, see [12, 7].

Before we come to the proof we define the shorthand

$$\mu_i(X) := \frac{|X \cap 2^i|}{2^i}.$$

With this, condition (1) can be rephrased as $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T) \geq 1 - 2^{-m}$.

Proof (Proof of Proposition 1) Let $\alpha_\phi(s, n, k)$ be an associate of $\phi(b, n, k)$. Then we have

$$\forall k \phi(b, n, k) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall k, k' \alpha_\phi(\bar{b}(k'), n, k) \leq 1.$$

For each n the full binary tree $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ decomposes into the sets

$$X_n := \{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \mid \forall k \alpha_\phi(s, n, k) \leq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_n := \{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists k \alpha_\phi(s, n, k) > 1\}.$$

Each set X_n is by the properties of an associate closed under prefix. Therefore, it forms a tree. The sets Y_n can be approximated with the sets $Y_{n,l} := \{s \in 2^{\leq l} \mid \exists k < l \alpha_\phi(s, n, k) > 1\}$ in the sense that $Y_n = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} Y_{n,l}$ and $Y_{n,l} \subseteq Y_{n,l'}$ for $l < l'$.

Since $\alpha_\phi(s, n, k) > 1$ implies $\alpha_\phi(s * \langle x \rangle, n, k) > 1$ for any $x < 2$ we have that

$$\mu_i(Y_n) \leq \mu_j(Y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i(Y_{n,l}) \leq \mu_j(Y_{n,l}) \quad \text{if } i < j. \quad (4)$$

With this we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_n) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_{n,l}) \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_l(Y_{n,l}) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_n).$$

We conclude that all the expressions are equal and thus

$$\forall n, k \exists l \forall i > l \mid \mu_i(Y_n) - \mu_l(Y_{n,l}) \mid < 2^{-k}. \quad (5)$$

A choice function $g(n, k)$ that outputs for each n, k such an l , exists by a suitable instance of Π_1^0 -AC, which follows from Π_1^0 -CA(ξ) for a suitable choice of ξ , see [6], [8, Chapter 13.4].

Let $Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq$ be the set of all branches going through $Y_{n,l}$, i.e.

$$Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq := \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists s' \in Y_{n,l} (s' \sqsubseteq s \vee s \sqsubseteq s')\}.$$

By definition we have

$$\mu_l(Y_{n,l}) = \mu_i(Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq) \quad \text{for all } i \geq l.$$

Since the set $Y_{n,l}$ is finite and decidable, it is clear that $Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq$ is also decidable. The set $Y_{n,l}^\sqsubseteq$ is obviously closed under prefix and therefore is a tree.

Consider $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq$. This set is a union of trees and, hence, a tree. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n) + \mu_{g(n,k)}(Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\sqsubseteq) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{\geq} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(X_n) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(Y_n) - 2^{-k} = 1 - 2^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the sets X_n and Y_n we have that for each infinite branch b of the tree $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\square$ we have that

$$\forall k \phi(b, n, k) = 0 \quad (6)$$

if and only if b is an infinite branch through X_n which is only the case if b does not go through $Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\square$. Since this is decidable, we can decide (6). The tree $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,k)}^\square$ is Π_1^0 since X_n is Π_1^0 .

Now consider the tree $T = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,m+n+1)}^\square)$. Since T is an intersection of trees, it is again a tree. One checks that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{m+n+1} \geq 1 - 2^m.$$

Let b be any infinite branch of T . Since T is contained in $X_n \cup Y_{n,g(n,m+n+1)}^\square$ for each n the property (6) is decidable and thus Π_1^0 -CA(ϕb) provable.

The tree T is Π_1^0 . Using the construction described in the proof of Lemma 1 one obtains a recursive tree which has the desired properties.

This proof is inspired by [5], [4, Theorem 8.14.1].

In order to show that Σ_1^0 -WWKL can be written as a principle of the form (2) with $P' \in \Pi_1^0$ we first observe that the sequence under the limit in (1) is decreasing, if T is a tree. Thus this limit is > 0 if and only if there exists an m such that each element of the sequence is $\geq 2^{-m}$. With this Σ_1^0 -WWKL can be written in the following form

$$\forall f, m \left(\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f) \wedge \forall n \frac{|\{s \in 2^n \mid \exists k f(s, k) = 0\}|}{2^n} \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \rightarrow \exists b \forall n \exists k f(\bar{b}(n), k) = 0 \right)$$

where b is a function, \bar{b} is the course-of-value function of b and $\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f)$ denotes the statement that f describes a binary Σ_1^0 -tree, i.e.

$$\forall s \left(\exists k f(s, k) = 0 \rightarrow \forall s' \sqsubseteq s \exists k f(s', k) = 0 \wedge s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \right).$$

Let $f'(s, k) := \min_{k' \leq k} f(s, k')$. By taking a choice function for the first k and a maximum we obtain the following, equivalent statement

$$\forall f, g, m \left(\mathbb{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f) \wedge \forall n \frac{|\{s \in 2^n \mid f'(s, g(n)) = 0\}|}{2^n} \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \rightarrow \exists b \forall n \exists k f(\bar{b}(n), k) = 0 \right) \quad (7)$$

We define the following constructions: Let

$$\hat{f}(s, k) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \text{ and } \forall s' \sqsubseteq s f'(s', k) = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{g,m}(s, k) := \begin{cases} f(s, k) & \text{if } \forall n \leq \text{lth}(s) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} |\{s \in 2^n \mid f'(s, g(n)) = 0\}| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \right), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These constructions can be defined in $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega^*}$ and it is easy to see that $\forall f \text{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(\hat{f})$ and $\forall f \text{T}_{\Sigma_1^0}(f) \rightarrow f =_1 \hat{f}$. Also by construction (provably in $\text{RCA}_0^{\omega^*}$)

$$\forall f, g \forall m, n \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \left| \left\{ s \in 2^n \mid \widehat{(\hat{f})}_{g,m}(s, g(n)) = 0 \right\} \right| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m} \right)$$

and $(\hat{f})_{g,m} = \hat{f}$ if f, g, m satisfy $\forall n \frac{1}{2^n} \left| \left\{ s \in 2^n \mid \hat{f}(s, g(n)) = 0 \right\} \right| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-m}$. Thus (7) is equivalent to

$$\forall f, g, m \exists b \forall n \exists k \widehat{f}_{g,m}(\bar{b}(n), k) = 0.$$

By an application of $\text{QF-AC}^{0,0}$ this is equivalent to

$$\forall f, g, m \exists b, h \forall n \widehat{f}_{g,m}(\bar{b}(n), h(n)) = 0,$$

which is the desired form. We will call this principle $\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WKL}}(\langle f, g, m \rangle, \langle b, h \rangle)$.

Theorem 2 *The principle $\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WKL}}$ is proofwise low over $\text{WKL}_0^{\omega^*}$, i.e. for all terms ϕ there exists an ξ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WKL}_0^{\omega^*} \vdash \forall f, g, m \left(\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi(f, g, m)) \right. \\ \left. \rightarrow \exists b, h \left(\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WKL}}(\langle f, g, m \rangle, \langle b, h \rangle) \wedge \Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi(f, g, m, b, h)) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof Fix f, g, m and assume that that f describes a Σ_1^0 -tree

$$T = \{s \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists k f(s, k) = 0\}$$

and satisfies premise of (7). Otherwise we could replace f by $\widehat{f}_{g,m}$. We may also assume that for each s there is at most one k such that $f(s, k) = 0$.

Let $\alpha_{\phi(f, g, m)}$ be that associate of ϕ with respect to the parameters b, h . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k \phi(f, g, m, b, h, n, k) = 0 &\leftrightarrow \forall k \forall k', k'' \alpha_{\phi(f, g, m)}(\bar{b}(k'), \bar{h}(k''), n, k) = 0 \\ &\leftrightarrow \forall k \forall k' \forall s'' (\forall i < \text{lth}(s'') f(\bar{b}(i), (s'')_i) = 0 \rightarrow \alpha_{\phi(f, g, m)}(\bar{b}(k'), s'', n, k) = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may disregard the parameter h and just prove $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi'(f, g, m, b))$ for a given ϕ' .

By Proposition 1 there exists a term $\xi_1(f, g, m)$ a tree T' such that $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_1(f, g, m))$ proves that T' exists, for each infinite branch b of T' the statement $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi'(f, g, m, b))$ is provable, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T') \geq 1 - 2^{-(m+1)}$.

Let $\xi_2(f, g, m, n, k) := f(n, k)$. Then $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_2(f, g, m))$ decides $\exists k f(s, k) = 0$ and thus relative to this statement T is recursive. By the properties of T we have that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T) \geq 2^{-m}$.

Consider the tree $T \cap T'$. For this tree $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(T \cap T') \geq 2^{-m+1}$. Therefore, it is infinite. By WKL it has an infinite branch b , and by definition $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\phi'(f, g, m, b))$ is provable.

Noting that $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_1(f, g, m))$ and $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}(\xi_2(f, g, m))$ can be coded into one instance $\xi(f, g, m)$ of $\Pi_1^0\text{-CA}$, see [6, Remark 3.8.2], proves the theorem.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1) The theorem without CAC follows from Corollary 3.4 of [9], Theorem 2, and the fact that $\Sigma_1^0\text{-}\widehat{\text{WKL}}$ and 2-RAN are equivalent over $\text{WKL}_0^\omega + \Pi_1^0\text{-CP}$.

The full statement of Theorem 1 follows from the fact that CAC is proof-wise low over a suitable system, see also [9], and one can code two proofwise low principle into one.

Acknowledgements The author was supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG Project KO 1737/5-1) and the Récréé project.

References

1. Avigad, J., Dean, E.T., Rute, J.: Algorithmic randomness, reverse mathematics, and the dominated convergence theorem. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **163**(12), 1854–1864 (2012). DOI 10.1016/j.apal.2012.05.010
2. Chong, C., Slaman, T.A., Yang, Y.: Π_1^0 -conservation of combinatorial principles weaker than Ramsey’s theorem for pairs. *Adv. in Math.* **230**(3), 1060–1077 (2012). DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2012.02.025
3. Conidis, C.J., Slaman, T.A.: Random reals, the rainbow Ramsey theorem, and arithmetic conservation. *J. Symbolic Logic* **78**(1), 195–206 (2013). DOI 10.2178/jsl.7801130
4. Downey, R.G., Hirschfeldt, D.R.: Algorithmic randomness and complexity. Theory and Applications of Computability. Springer, New York (2010). DOI 10.1007/978-0-387-68441-3
5. Kautz, S.M.: Degrees of random sets. Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University (1991). URL <http://www.cs.iastate.edu/smkautz/papers/thesis.pdf>
6. Kohlenbach, U.: Elimination of Skolem functions for monotone formulas in analysis. *Arch. Math. Logic* **37**, 363–390 (1998). DOI 10.1007/s001530050104
7. Kohlenbach, U.: Higher order reverse mathematics. In: Reverse mathematics 2001, *Lect. Notes Log.*, vol. 21, pp. 281–295. Assoc. Symbol. Logic, La Jolla, CA (2005)
8. Kohlenbach, U.: Applied Proof Theory: Proof Interpretations and their Use in Mathematics. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer Verlag (2008). DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-77533-1
9. Kreuzer, A.P.: Primitive recursion and the chain antichain principle. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic* **53**(2), 245–265 (2012). DOI 10.1215/00294527-1715716
10. Kreuzer, A.P., Kohlenbach, U.: Term extraction and Ramsey’s theorem for pairs. *J. Symbolic Logic* **77**(3), 853–895 (2012). DOI 10.2178/jsl/1344862165
11. Simpson, S.G.: Subsystems of second order arithmetic, second edn. Perspectives in Logic. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009). DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511581007
12. Troelstra, A.S. (ed.): Metamathematical investigation of intuitionistic arithmetic and analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 344. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1973)
13. Yu, X., Simpson, S.G.: Measure theory and weak König’s lemma. *Arch. Math. Logic* **30**(3), 171–180 (1990). DOI 10.1007/BF01621469