Non-principal ultrafilters, program extraction and higher order reverse mathematics

Alexander P. Kreuzer

ENS Lyon

Université Paris Diderot — Preuves, Programmes et Systèmes Groupe de travail Théorie des types et réalisabilité December 18th, 2013

## Outline

Reverse mathematics is a program which establishes which set existence axioms are necessary to prove a theorem.

- The usual systems of reverse mathematics are two-sorted.
  - $\bullet~$  One sort for  $\mathbb N$  and
  - $\bullet\,$  one for subsets of  $\mathbb N.$
- Base system RCA<sub>0</sub>. RCA<sub>0</sub> contains
  - basic arithmetic,  $\Sigma_1^0$ -induction,
  - the statement that all computable sets exist.
- Question in Reverse mathematics is: To what (set-existence) axioms is a theorem equivalent relative to RCA<sub>0</sub>?

## Example: Monotone convergence principle

Each increasing sequence of  $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  in [0,1] has a supremum.

- This can be formulated in RCA<sub>0</sub> in the following way.
  - Rational numbers  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  will be coded as a pair  $\langle p, q \rangle := 2^p \cdot 3^q$ .
  - The sequence  $x_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$  will be coded as the set

 $\{\langle n, 2^{p_n} \cdot 3^{q_n} \rangle \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

• Want: for each  $n \ge 2^{-n}$  good approximation to the supremum.

Solution:

$$\{\langle n, q_m \rangle \mid \forall m' > m \ \left( q_{m'} -_{\mathbb{Q}} q_m <_{\mathbb{Q}} 2^{-n} \right) \}$$

- This set is build by arithmetical quantification, i.e. contains quantification of natural numbers.
- The monotone convergence principle is equivalent to the corresponding system ACA<sub>0</sub>.

- Many theorems form mathematics can be analyzed this way.
- Most of them can be show to be equivalent to one of the big five systems.

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{WKL}_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{ACA}_0 \leftarrow \mathsf{ATR}_0 \leftarrow \Pi_1^1 \text{-} \mathsf{CA}_0$$

Higher order statement cannot be formulated in these systems.

## Higher order arithmetic

### Definition (RCA $_0^{\omega}$ , Recursive comprehension, Kohlenbach '05)

 $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega$  is the finite type extension of  $\mathsf{RCA}_0$ :

- Sorted into type 0 for  $\mathbb{N}$ , type 1 for  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , type 2 for  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ , ...,
- contains basic arithmetic: 0, successor, +,  $\cdot$ ,  $\lambda$ -abstraction,
- quantifier-free axiom of choice restricted to choice of numbers over functions (QF-AC<sup>1,0</sup>), i.e.,

$$\forall f^1 \exists y^0 \operatorname{A}_{\operatorname{\!\! Qf}}(f,y) \mathop{\rightarrow} \exists G^2 \forall f^1 \operatorname{A}_{\operatorname{\!\! Qf}}(f,G(f))$$

• and a recursor  $R_0$ , which provides primitive recursion (for numbers),

$$R_0(0, y^0, f) = y,$$
  $R_0(x+1, y, f) = f(R_0(x, y, f), x),$ 

•  $\Sigma_1^0$ -induction.

The closed terms of RCA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> will be denoted by  $T_0$ . In Kohlenbach's books this system is denoted by  $\widehat{\text{E-PA}}^{\omega} \upharpoonright + \text{QF-AC}^{1,0}$ .

## Theorem (Functional interpretation)

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash \forall x \,\exists y \,\mathsf{A}_{qf}(x,y)$$

the one can extract a term  $t \in T_0$ , such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega \vdash \forall x \mathsf{A}_{qf}(x, t(x)).$$

#### Sketch of proof.

Apply the following proof translations:

- Elimination of extensionality,
- a negative translation,
- Gödel's Dialectica translation.

See Kohlenbach: Applied Proof Theory.

Each formula can be assigned an equivalent  $\forall \exists\mbox{-formula}.$  E.g.

$$A :\equiv \forall x \,\exists y \,\forall z \, A_{qf}(x, y, z)$$

will be assigned

$$A^{ND} \equiv \forall x \,\forall f_z \,\exists y \, A_{qf}(x, y, f_z(y)).$$

• This assignment preserves logical rules, like

$$\frac{A \qquad A \to B}{B},$$

and exhibits programs.

 Thus, to prove the program extraction theorem we only have to provide programs for the axioms.

## Arithmetical comprehension

Let  $\Pi_1^0$ -CA be the schema

$$\forall f \exists g \,\forall n \, \left(g(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall x \, f(n, x) = 0\right).$$

Define ACA<sup> $\omega$ </sup> to be RCA<sup> $\omega$ </sup> +  $\Pi_1^0$ -CA.

Let Feferman's  $\mu$  be

$$\mu(f) := \begin{cases} \min\{x \mid f(x) = 0\} & \text{if } \exists x f(x) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $(\mu)$  be the statement that  $\mu$  exists.

#### Theorem

- $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \Pi_1^0 \text{-}\mathsf{CA}$
- $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu)$  is  $\Pi^1_2\text{-}conservative over <math display="inline">\mathsf{ACA}_0^\omega$

### Theorem (Functional interpretation relative to $\mu$ )

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall x \,\exists y \,\mathsf{A}_{qf}(x, y)$$

the one can extract a term  $t \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

lf

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall x \, \mathsf{A}_{qf}(x, t(x)).$$

We interpreted ACA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> non-constructively using  $\mu$ . One can also interpret ACA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> directly using bar recursion. See Avigad, Feferman in Handbook of Proof Theory

## Filter

#### Filter

A set  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is a *filter over*  $\mathbb{N}$  if

• 
$$\forall X, Y \ (X \in \mathcal{F} \land X \subseteq Y \to Y \in \mathcal{F}),$$

• 
$$\forall X, Y \ (X, Y \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X \cap Y \in \mathcal{F}),$$

• 
$$\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$$

#### Ultrafilter

A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal, i.e.,  $\forall X \ \left(X \in \mathcal{F} \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{F}\right)$ 

 $\mathcal{P}_n := \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid n \in X\}$  is an ultrafilter. These filters are called *principal*. The Fréchet filter  $\{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid X \text{ cofinite}\}$  is a filter but not an ultrafilter.

## Non-principal ultrafilters

A set  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is a non-principal ultrafilter over  $\mathbb{N}$  if •  $\forall X \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U}),$ •  $\forall X, Y \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \land X \subseteq Y \to Y \in \mathcal{U}),$ •  $\forall X, Y \ (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U}),$ •  $\forall X \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \to X \text{ is infinite}).$ 

The existence of a non-principal ultrafilter is not provable in ZF.

## Non-principal ultrafilters

A set  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is a non-principal ultrafilter over  $\mathbb{N}$  if •  $\forall X \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U}),$ •  $\forall X, Y \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \land X \subseteq Y \to Y \in \mathcal{U}),$ •  $\forall X, Y \ (X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U}),$ •  $\forall X \ (X \in \mathcal{U} \to X \text{ is infinite}).$ 

Coding sets as characteristic function, i.e,  $n \in X :\equiv [X(n) = 0]$ , this can be formulated in  $RCA_0^{\omega}$ :

$$(\mathcal{U}): \begin{cases} \exists \mathcal{U}^2 \left( \ \forall X^1 \ \left( X \in \mathcal{U} \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \land \forall X^1, Y^1 \ \left( X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \to Y \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \land \forall X^1, Y^1 \ \left( X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to (X \cap Y) \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \land \forall X^1 \ \left( X \in \mathcal{U} \to \forall n \ \exists k > n \ (k \in X) \right) \\ \land \forall X^1 \ \left( \mathcal{U}(X) =_0 \operatorname{sg}(\mathcal{U}(X)) =_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda n. \operatorname{sg}(X(n))) \right) \end{cases}$$

## Lower bound on the strength of $\mathsf{RCA}^\omega_0 + (\mathcal{U})$

Theorem (K.)

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash (\mu)$$

In particular,  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$  proves arithmetical comprehension.

#### Proof.

Let 
$$f \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$$
 and set  $X_f := \{n \mid \exists m \leq n \ f(m) = 0\}$ . Then

$$\exists n \ (f(n) = 0) \iff X_f \text{ is cofinite} \\ \iff X_f \in \mathcal{U}$$

Thus

$$\forall f (X_f \in \mathcal{U} \to \exists n (f(n) = 0 \land \forall n' < n f(n') \neq 0))$$

QF-AC<sup>1,0</sup> yields a functional satisfying  $(\mu)$ .

## Upper bound on the strength of $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U})$

## Theorem (K.)

 $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \text{ is } \Pi_2^1 \text{-conservative over } \mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \text{ and thus also over } \mathsf{ACA}_0^\omega.$ 

#### Proof sketch

Suppose  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f \exists g \mathsf{A}(f,g)$  and  $\mathsf{A}$  does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**()** The functional interpretation yields a term  $t \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

 $\forall f \mathsf{A}(f, t(\mathcal{U}, f)).$ 

2 Normalizing t, such that each occurrence of  $\mathcal{U}$  in t is of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(t'(n^0))$$
 for a term  $t'(n^0)\in T_0[\mathcal{U},\mu,f].$ 

In particular, U is only used on countably many sets (for each fixed f). Build in RCA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> + ( $\mu$ ) a filter which acts on these sets as ultrafilter.

## Step 1: Functional interpretation

Suppose  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f^1 \exists g^1 \mathsf{A}(f,g)$ where A is arithmetical and does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Modulo  $\mu$  the formula A is quantifier-free. Recall ( $\mathcal{U}$ ):

$$(\mathcal{U}): \begin{cases} \exists \mathcal{U}^2 \left( \ \forall X^1 \ \left( X \in \mathcal{U} \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \land \forall X^1, Y^1 \ \left( X \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \to Y \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \land \forall X^1, Y^1 \ \left( X, Y \in \mathcal{U} \to (X \cap Y) \in \mathcal{U} \right) \\ \land \forall X^1 \ \left( X \in \mathcal{U} \to \forall n \ \exists k > n \ (k \in X) \right) \\ \land \forall X^1 \ \left( \mathcal{U}(X) =_0 \operatorname{sg}(\mathcal{U}(X)) =_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda n. \operatorname{sg}(X(n))) \right) \end{cases}$$

Modulo  $\operatorname{RCA}_0^{\omega} + (\mu)$  this is of the form  $\exists \mathcal{U}^2 \, \forall Z^1 \, (\mathcal{U})_{qf}(\mathcal{U}, Z)$ . Thus

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \,\forall f^1 \,\exists Z^1 \,\exists g^1 \left( (\mathcal{U})_{\mathsf{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z) \to \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{qf}}(f, g) \right).$$

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \,\forall f^1 \,\exists Z^1 \,\exists g^1 \left( (\mathcal{U})_{\mathsf{qf}}(\mathcal{U}, Z) \to \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{qf}}(f, g) \right).$$

The functional interpretation extracts terms  $t_Z, t_g \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall \mathcal{U}^2 \,\forall f^1\left((\mathcal{U})_{qf}(\mathcal{U}, t_Z(\mathcal{U}, f)) \to \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f, t_g(\mathcal{U}, f))\right).$$

## Step 2: Term normalization

The terms  $t_Z, t_g$  are made of

- 0, successor, +, ·,  $\lambda$ -abstraction
- the primitive recursor  $R_0$ , i.e.

$$R_0(0, y, f) = y,$$
  $R_0(x + 1, y, f) = f(R_0(x, y, f), x),$ 

•  $\mu^2$  and

• the parameters  $\mathcal{U}^2, f^1$ .

With coding  $R_0$  is of type 2. The functional  $\mathcal{U}$  is also of type 2.  $\implies$  no functional can take  $\mathcal{U}$  as parameter.

#### Lemma

The terms  $t_Z, t_g$  can be normalized, such that each occurrence of  $\mathcal U$  is of the form

 $\mathcal{U}(t'(n^0))$  for a term t' possible containing  $\mathcal{U}, f$ .

#### Proof.

Consider  $t[\mathcal{U}, f, n^0]$ , where  $\mathcal{U}, f, n^0$  are variables. Assume that all possible  $\lambda$ -reductions haven been carried out. Then one of the following holds:

• 
$$t = 0$$
,  
•  $t = S(t'_1), t = f(t'_1), t = t'_1 + t'_2, t(n) = t'_1 \cdot t'_2$ ,  
•  $t = \mu(t'_g), t = \mathcal{U}(t'_g), t = R_0(t'_1, t'_2, t'_g)$ .

Restart the procedure with  $t'_1$ ,  $t'_2$  and  $t'_a m^0$ .

## Step 3: Construction of (a substitute for) $\mathcal{U}$

We fix an f and construct a filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash (\mathcal{U})_{qf}(\mathcal{F}, t_Z(\mathcal{F}, f)).$$
(\*)

This yields then

$$\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \forall f \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f, t_g(\mathcal{F}, f))$$

and thus the theorem.

Let  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  be the list term with  $\mathcal{U}(t_j(n))$  in  $t_Z, t_g$ .

- Assume that  $t_1, \ldots$  is ordered according to the subterm ordering.
- We start with the trivial filter  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\mathbb{N}\}.$
- For each  $t_i$  we build a refined  $\mathcal{F}_i \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  such that  $(\mathcal{U})_{qf}$  relativized the sets coded by  $t_1, \ldots, t_i$  holds.
- $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_k$  solves then (??).

## Step 3: Sketch of the construction of $\mathcal{F}_1$

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  be the set of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  coded by  $t_1$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under union, intersection and inverse.

We want a filter  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , such that

• 
$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A} \ (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \lor \overline{X} \in \mathcal{F}_1),$$

• 
$$\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A} \ (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \land X \subseteq Y \to Y \in \mathcal{F}_1),$$

• 
$$\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A} \ (X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \to X \cap Y \in \mathcal{F}_1),$$

• 
$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A} \ (X \in \mathcal{F}_1 \to X \text{ is infinite}).$$

Construction:

- We decide for each i = 1, 2, ... whether we put  $A_i$  or  $\overline{A_i}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .
- We put  $A_i$  into  $\mathcal{F}_1$  if the *intersection of*  $A_i$  *with the previously chosen* sets is infinite. Otherwise we put  $\overline{A_i}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

## Program extraction

## Corollary (to the proof)

If  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f \exists g \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f,g) \text{ and } \mathsf{A}_{qf} \text{ does not contain } \mathcal{U}$ then one can extract a term  $t \in T_0[\mu]$ , such that

 $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mu) \vdash \mathsf{A}_{\!\!\textit{qf}}(f, t(f)).$ 

#### Corollary

If  $\mathsf{RCA}_0^\omega + (\mathcal{U}) \vdash \forall f \exists g \mathsf{A}_{qf}(f,g)$  and  $\mathsf{A}_{qf}$  does not contain  $\mathcal{U}$  then one can extract a term t in Gödel's System T, such that

 $A_{qf}(f, t(f))$ 

#### Proof.

- The previous corollary yields a term primitive recursive in μ.
- Interpreting the term using the bar recursor  $B_{0,1}$  and then using Howard's ordinal analysis gives a term  $t \in T$ .

### The proof theory

- Functional interpretation (Step 1)
- Term normalization (Step 2)

#### Extension to

- <u>abstract types</u> (Günzel, ongoing work),
- type 3 operators, e.g. Lebesgue measure defined on all subsets of unit interval. (K. '13)

#### The combinatorics

Construction of the partial ultrafilter on the countable algebra. (Step 3)

#### Extension to

- idempotent ultrafilters by using iterated Hindman's theorem (K. '12),
- possibly other type 2 operators.

Possible Applications:

- Program extraction for ultralimit arguments e.g.,
  - from fixed point theory,
  - Gromov's Theorem,
  - Ergodic theory.
- Program extraction for non-standard arguments.

- Program extraction and conservativity for non-principal ultrafilters.
- The  $\Pi^1_2\text{-consequences of RCA}^\omega_0+(\mathcal{U})$  and the  $\Pi^1_2\text{-consequences of ACA}^\omega_0$  are the same.

# Thank you for your attention!

#### Alexander P. Kreuzer

Non-principal ultrafilters, program extraction and higher order reverse mathematics

J. Mathematical Logic 12 (2012), no. 1.

Alexander P. Kreuzer

On idempotent ultrafilters in higher-order reverse mathematics preprint, arXiv:1208.1424.

Alexander P. Kreuzer

Minimal idempotent ultrafilters and the Auslander-Ellis theorem preprint, arXiv:1305.6530.

Alexander P. Kreuzer Measure theory and higher order arithmetic preprint, arXiv:1312.1531.